With a known functional relationship between the $X_{N M}$ and $U_{L K}$, one has the following linkage equations between the two-dimensional force and strain tensors:

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{l K}=\int_{b_{-}}^{b_{+}^{+}}\left(\partial U_{N M} / \partial u_{l K}\right) X_{N M^{\prime} B_{1} B_{2} d t_{3}} \\
& x_{33}=\int_{b_{-}}^{b_{+}}\left(\partial U_{N M} / \partial u_{33}\right) X_{N M} B_{1} B_{2} d t_{3}  \tag{4.9}\\
& z_{l K}=\int_{b_{-}}^{b_{+}}\left(\partial U_{N M} / \partial w_{l K}\right) X_{N M} B_{1} B_{2} d t_{3} .
\end{align*}
$$

Equations (4.1)-(4.9) form a closed system for the unknown functions $u_{N}, v_{n}, u_{33}, u_{n M}$, $W_{n M}, X_{N M}, z_{n M}$ and their first-order partial derivatives. The bending tensor $v_{n M}$ plays an auxiliary role in this system of abbreviated denotation for differential expression (4.2).

When the tw~-dimensional system of (4.1)-(4.9) has been solved, the three-dimensional parameters of the state of stress and strain in the shell are determined from the scheme presented in Sec. 3.
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EXISTENCE OF SOLUTIONS IN IDEAL HENCKE PLASTICTTY
A. M. Khludnev

UDC $539.214+539.374+517.9$

The existence of a weak solution in the theory of ideal Hencke plasticity is obtained only in the particular case of the Mises flow condition and under the assumption of isotropy of the material [1]. The strain vector is here found from a space conjugate to $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$. The existence of a solution for an arbitrary flow condition and without the assumption of isotropy is proved in this paper. The displacement vector belongs to the space $L^{3 / 2}(\Omega)$.

The governing equations of the plasticity theory under consideration yield a representation of the total strains in the form of a sum of elastic and plastic components

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{i j}(u)=c_{i j k l} \sigma_{k l}+\xi_{i j}, i, j=1,2,3, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the stresses do not exceed the yield point $\Phi(\sigma) \leqslant 0$, while the plastic strains $\xi_{i j}$ satisfy the inequality [1-3]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{i j}\left(\tau_{i j}-\sigma_{i j}\right) \leqslant 0 \forall \tau, \Phi(\tau) \leqslant 0 . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equilibrium equations are satisfied in the domain $\Omega \subset R^{3}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\sigma_{i j, j}=t_{i}, i=1,2,3 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Novosibirsk. Translated from Zhurnal Prikladnoi Mekhaniki i Tekhnicheskoi Fiziki, No. 1, pp. 174-177, January-February, 1984. Original article submitted November 1, 1982.

On the boundary of the domain $I$ the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

is valid. Here $f_{i}$ are given mass forces, and the function $\Phi$ describes the flow condition. It is assumed that $\Phi$ is continuous, convex, and the set $\tilde{K}=\left\{\lambda \in R^{6} \mid \Phi(\lambda) \leqslant 0\right\}$ contains zero as an inner point. The boundary $\Gamma$ is considered smooth. All the quantities with two subscripts are symmetric $\sigma=\left\{\sigma_{i j}\right\}, \varepsilon_{i j}(u)=(1 / 2)\left(u_{i, j}+u_{j, i}\right), u=\left(u_{i}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right), c_{i j k l} \in L^{\infty}(\Omega), \lambda=\left\{\lambda_{i j}\right\}$, the tensor $c_{i j k} \mathcal{L}$ possesses the usual symmetry and positive-definiteness properties; summation is assumed to be over repeated subscripts. Also let $K=\left\{\sigma \in L^{2}(\Omega) \mid \sigma(x) \in \widetilde{K}\right.$ almost everywhere in $\left.\Omega\right\}$. The follow result holds.

THEOREM. Let $f_{i} \in L^{3}(\Omega), i=1,2,3$ and let a solution $\sigma^{0}$ of the system (3) exist such that for a certain constant $\delta>0$ the inclusion $(1+\delta) \sigma^{0} \in K$ will be valid. Then there are functions $\sigma$ and $u$ satisfying (3) where

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sigma \in K: \quad C(\sigma, \tau-\sigma)+\int_{\Omega} u_{i}\left(\tau_{i j, j}-\sigma_{i j, j}\right) d x \geqslant 0 \cdot \forall \tau \in K \cap V,  \tag{5}\\
\sigma_{i j, j} \in L^{3}(\Omega), \quad u \in L^{3 / 2}(\Omega) .
\end{gather*}
$$

Here

$$
C(\sigma, \tau)=\int_{\Omega} c_{i j k l} \sigma_{k l} \tau_{i j} d x, \quad V=\left\{\sigma \in L^{2}(\Omega) \mid \sigma_{i j, j} \in L^{3}(\Omega)\right\} .
$$

The inequality (5) is the relations (1), (2), (4) written in a form corresponding to the existing regularity of the solution.

Proof. The scheme of the discussion is the following. First we examine the auxiliary problem with a penalty, and by using reciprocity methods we prove the existence of approximate solutions. We then obtain a priori estimates that are uniform in the penalty parameter $\varepsilon>0$, and we then pass to the limit.

Let $\pi$ be the projection operator in $R^{6}$ with the customary Euclidean norm in the set $\tilde{K}$. We define a functional in the space $\mathrm{L}^{2}(\Omega)(\varepsilon>0$ is fixed)

$$
H(\sigma)=\frac{1}{2} C(\sigma, \sigma)+P(\sigma), \quad P(\sigma)=\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon}\|\sigma-\pi \sigma\|_{2}^{2}
$$

and the closed convex sets

$$
A=\left\{\sigma \in L^{2}(\Omega) \mid \sigma_{i j, j}=f_{i}, i=1,2,3\right\}, A_{0}=\left\{\sigma \in L^{2}(\Omega) \mid \sigma_{i j, j}=0, i=1,2,3\right\}
$$

Using the first Korn inequality in $H^{\frac{1}{0}}(\Omega)$, it can be proved that the set

$$
W=\left\{e_{i j} \mid e_{i j} \in L^{2}(\Omega), \quad e_{i j}=\varepsilon_{i j}(u), \quad u=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right) \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right\}
$$

is closed in $\mathrm{L}^{2}(\Omega)$.
Furthermore, let us set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F(e)=\left\{\begin{array}{lr}
-\left\langle f_{i}, u_{i}\right\rangle, & \text { if } \quad e_{i j} \in W, \\
+\infty & \text { otherwise, }
\end{array} \quad e=\left\{e_{i j}\right\},\right. \\
& G(e)=\sup _{\tau \in L^{2}(\Omega)}\{\langle\tau, e\rangle-H(\tau)\}, \quad \tau=\left\{\tau_{i j}\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\left\langle\cdot, \cdot>\right.$ is the scalar product in $L^{2}(\Omega)$. Because of the closedness of $W$ the functional $F$ is weakly semicontinuous from below.

Let us consider the problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf _{e \in L^{2}(\Omega)}\{G(e)+F(e)\}=\inf _{u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)}\left\{\sup _{\tau \subseteq L^{2}(\Omega)}[\langle\tau, \varepsilon(u)\rangle-H(\tau)]-\left\langle f_{i}, u_{i}\right\rangle\right\} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We prove that this problem has a solution. Indeed, we have at the point $\tau=\bar{\tau}$ where the exact upper bound is reached

$$
\left\langle H^{\prime}(\bar{\tau}), \sigma\right\rangle-\langle\varepsilon(u), \sigma\rangle=0 \quad \forall \sigma \in L^{2}(\Omega) .
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{i j}(u)=c_{i j k l} \bar{\tau}_{k l}+P^{\prime}(\bar{\tau})_{i j}, \quad P^{\prime}(\bar{\tau})_{i j}=(1 / \varepsilon)(\bar{\tau}-\pi \bar{\tau})_{i j} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here and above the prime denotes the derivatives of the appropriate functionals. We substitute the value obtained $\varepsilon_{i j}(u)$ into the square bracket in (6) for $\tau=\bar{\tau}$. We obtain that the value of this bracket equals $(1 / 2) C(\bar{\tau}, \bar{\tau})-P(\bar{\tau})+\left\langle P^{\prime}(\bar{\tau}), \bar{\tau}\right\rangle$. However, it follows from (7) [c is independent of $u, \bar{\tau},\|\cdot\|_{p}$ is the norm in $L P(\Omega)$ ]

$$
\|u\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)} \leqslant c\left(\|\bar{\tau}\|_{2}+\left\|P^{\prime}(\bar{\tau})\right\|_{2}\right) .
$$

Moreover, from the convexity of the functional $P$ we obtain that $P(\bar{\tau}) \leqslant\left\langle P^{\prime}(\bar{\tau})\right.$, $\left.\bar{\tau}\right\rangle$. Therefore, the value of the braces in (6) tends to $+\infty$ for $\|u\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)} \rightarrow+\infty \quad$ [we emphasize that $\varepsilon$ is still fixed so that $\left.\left\|P^{\prime}(\bar{\tau})\right\|_{2} \leqslant c\|\bar{\tau}\|_{2}\right]$. The coercivity of the functional (6) is set. Hence, the existence of the solution $u=u^{\varepsilon} \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ follows from the weak semicontinuity from below.

We now construct the problem conjugate to (6). We have $G^{*}(\tau)=H(\tau)$ and

$$
F^{*}(-\tau)=\sup _{e \in L^{2}(\Omega)}\{\langle\tau, e\rangle-F(e)\}=\sup _{u \equiv H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)}\left\{\langle\tau, \varepsilon(u)\rangle+\left\langle f_{i}, u_{i}\right\rangle\right\}=\psi_{A}(\tau) .
$$

Here $\psi_{A}(\tau)$ is the display function of the set $A$, i.e., a function equal to zero in the set $A$ and $+\infty$ outside. Therefore, the following [4]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf _{\tau \in L^{2}(\Omega)}\left\{G^{*}(\tau)+F^{*}(-\tau)\right\}=\inf _{\tau \in A} H(\tau) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

will be a problem reciprocal to (6) relative to the perturbation $\Lambda(e, q)=G(e)+F(e-q)$. This problem has a solution which is characterized by the inequality $\sigma=\sigma^{\varepsilon} \in A:\left\langle H^{\prime}\left(\sigma^{\varepsilon}\right), \tau-\right.$ $\left.\sigma^{\varepsilon}\right\rangle \geqslant 0 \forall \tau \in A$. We here substitute $\tau=\sigma^{\varepsilon} \pm \tau_{0}, \tau_{0} \in A_{0}$. We obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle H^{\prime}\left(\sigma^{\varepsilon}\right), \tau_{0}\right\rangle=0 \quad \forall \tau_{0} \in A_{0} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The identity (9) will play a substantial part in obtaining a priori estimates of the solution.

The solutions $\sigma^{\varepsilon} \in L^{2}(\Omega)$ and $u^{\varepsilon} \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ of the conjugate problems (6) and (8) are related to the extremal relationships [4]

$$
G\left(\varepsilon\left(u^{\varepsilon}\right)\right)+G^{*}\left(\sigma^{\varepsilon}\right)=\left\langle\varepsilon\left(u^{\varepsilon}\right), \sigma^{\varepsilon}\right\rangle .
$$

Substituting the values of $G$ and $G^{*}$, we find

$$
H\left(\sigma^{\varepsilon}\right)-\left\langle\mathrm{\varepsilon}\left(u^{\varepsilon}\right), \sigma^{\varepsilon}\right\rangle \leqslant H(\tau)-\left\langle\varepsilon\left(u^{\varepsilon}\right), \tau\right\rangle \forall \tau \in L^{2}(\Omega) .
$$

It hence follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{i j}\left(u^{\varepsilon}\right)=c_{i j k l} \sigma_{k l}^{\varepsilon}+P^{\prime}\left(\sigma^{\varepsilon}\right)_{i j} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now obtain the a priori estimates of the solutions on the basis of (9) and (10). We substitute as $\tau_{0}$ in the identity (9) the quantity $\bar{\sigma}=\sigma \varepsilon-\sigma^{0}, \sigma^{0}$ is the solution of (3). We will have

$$
C\left(\bar{\sigma}^{\varepsilon}, \bar{\sigma}^{\varepsilon}\right)+\left\langle P^{\prime}\left(\sigma^{\varepsilon}\right), \bar{\sigma}^{\varepsilon}\right\rangle=-C\left(\sigma^{0}, \bar{\sigma}^{\varepsilon}\right)
$$

Since $\sigma^{0} \in K$, then $\left\langle P^{\prime}\left(\sigma^{\varepsilon}\right), \bar{\sigma}^{\varepsilon}\right\rangle \geqslant 0$, and this means that from this relationship there follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\bar{\sigma}^{\boldsymbol{e}}\right\|_{2} \leqslant c,\left\langle\boldsymbol{P}^{\prime}\left(\sigma^{\varepsilon}\right), \bar{\sigma}^{\mathrm{\sigma}}\right\rangle \leqslant c \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The constants $c$ are here independent of $\varepsilon$.
Furthermore, it follows from the conditions of the theorem that $(1+8) \sigma^{0} \in K$. Hence, there is a constant $\delta_{0}$ dependent on $\delta$ and $\tilde{K}$ for which dist $\left(\sigma^{\circ}(x), C \tilde{K}\right) \geqslant \delta_{0}$; C $\tilde{K}$ is the supplement to the set $\tilde{K}$. We assume that for $x \in \Omega$ the imbedding $\sigma^{\varepsilon}(x)=\sigma^{0}(x)+\bar{\sigma}^{\varepsilon}(x) \in C \widetilde{K}$ is valid. Then the hyperplane is $\left\{\xi \in R^{6} \mid[\xi, l(x)]=b\right\}$, where $b$ is a certain number while

$$
\begin{equation*}
l(x)=\left.\left.P^{\prime}\left(\sigma^{8}\right)(x)\right|^{\prime}\left(\sigma^{\varepsilon}\right)(x)\right|^{-1} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

separates the sets $\sigma^{\varepsilon}(x)$ and $\tilde{K}$. Here $[\cdot, \cdot]$ denotes the scalar product corresponding to the Euclidean norm. Since $\sigma^{2}(x) \in C \widetilde{K}, \sigma^{0}(x)+\delta_{0} l(x) \in \widetilde{K}, 0 \in \widetilde{K}$, then the following inequalities hold:

$$
\left[\sigma^{0}(x)+\bar{\sigma}^{\mathrm{e}}(x), l(x)\right] \geqslant b,\left[\sigma^{0}(x)+\delta_{0} l(x), l(x)\right] \leqslant b
$$

We hence obtain $\left[\bar{\sigma}^{\varepsilon}(x), l(x)\right] \geqslant \delta_{0}$. Consequently, by multiplying (12) scalarly by $\bar{\sigma}^{\varepsilon}$, we will have

$$
\left|P^{\prime}\left(\sigma^{\mathrm{e}}\right)(x)\right| \leqslant \delta_{0}^{-1}\left[P^{\prime}\left(\sigma^{\varepsilon}\right)(x), \bar{\sigma}^{\mathrm{E}}(x)\right] .
$$

This inequality is satisfied also in the case $\sigma^{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(x) \in \widetilde{K}$. Integrating it over the domain $\Omega$ and taking account of (11), we obtain

$$
\left\|P^{\prime}\left(\sigma^{\varepsilon}\right)\right\|_{i} \leqslant c
$$

with constant $c$ independent of $\varepsilon$. By using this inequality, we obtain $\left\|\varepsilon\left(u^{\varepsilon}\right)\right\|_{1} \leqslant c$ from (10). It is proved in [5] that if $\Omega \subset R^{3}$ is a domain with regular boundaries and $\varphi=\left(\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}\right.$, $\left.\varphi_{3}\right) \in H_{0}^{\mathbf{1}}(\Omega)$, then there exists a constant $c>0$ independent of $\varphi$ for which

$$
\|\varphi\|_{3 / 2} \leqslant c \sum_{i, j=1}^{3}\left\|\varepsilon_{i j}(\varphi)\right\|_{1} .
$$

Therefore, the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u^{\varepsilon}\right\|_{3 / 2} \leqslant c \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

with constant independent of $\varepsilon$ is valid.
According to (11) and (13), it can be considered that there exists a subsequence denoted in the previous manner that possesses the property

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma^{8} \rightarrow \sigma \text { weakly in } L^{2}(\Omega), u^{\varepsilon} \rightarrow u \text { weakly in } L^{3 / 2}(\Omega) . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

We multiply (10) by $\tau_{i j}-\sigma_{i j}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}, \tau \in K \cap V$ and we obtain

$$
C\left(\sigma^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}, \tau-\sigma^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\right)+\int_{\Omega} \mu_{i}^{\varepsilon}\left(\tau_{i j, j}-\mathrm{\sigma}_{i j, j}^{\mathbf{\varepsilon}}\right) d x \geqslant 0 .
$$

 here to the lower limit as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, on the basis of (14). Consequently, we obtain the inequality (5). The imbedding $\sigma \in K$ is proved by standard reasoning [1]. The theorem is proved completely.

The author is grateful to V. M. Sadovskii for discussing the research.
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